

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/899,458	LAFFEY ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Mylinh T Tran	2174

**All Participants:**

(1) Mylinh T Tran.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) J.B. Kraft.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 5 August 2004

**Time:** 10:00AM

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic

Video Conference

Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed: /

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The Examiner suggested to amend claims 1, 5, 7, 11, 13 and 17 in order to verify the claim invention.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

BA HUYNH  
PRIMARY EXAMINER  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)